Message

From: Strynar, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5A9910D5B38E471497BD875FD329A20A-STRYNAR, MARK]
Sent: 10/2/2019 7:06:55 PM
To: Leung, Lam-Wing H [LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com]
Subject: RE: Method 533

Lam,

I am not involved in this process. I would agree the PMPA and PEPA are branched. Do you have the doc so we can track down who to alert. I have my suspicions but want to be sure. My guess would be they bought the linear versions as they were available; for example I think one we called at one point PFECA-A which is an isomer to PEPA.

Mark

From: Leung, Lam-Wing H <LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2019 1:36 PM
To: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>
Subject: Method 533

Hi Mark,

Hope you are doing well these days. I have been looking through the latest draft Method 533 and noticed that the few of the new added analytes are likely taken out from you ES&T paper (the very first one). I'm somewhat concerned that the structure of couple of them are not consistent to what the actual analytes related to our "Table3+" Method. The 2 specific ones are PFMPA and PFMBA (both of them are linear) and the "correct" analytes consistent with our FW chemistry are PMPA & PEPA (branched structures). I'm not sure if you are involved in the development of this draft method and I just want to bring this info to someone's attention Or perhaps you can direct me to the "group" who's working on this.

We'll have to catch up one of these days and maybe we'll get to visit your facilities (via Jeff Ryan) soon enough.

Best Regards,
Lam

Lam Leung, Ph.D.
Technical Fellow
lam.h.leung-1@chemours.com
302-353-5218 o
302-985-1655 m
The Chemours Company
Experimental Station 402/5323
200 Powder Mill Road
Wilmington, DE 19803
USA

LinkedIn | Twitter | Chemours.com

<=”” style=””>